

Colorectal Pathway Meeting minutes
Wednesday 11th March 2015, 2 pm – 4 pm
Nightingale Lecture Theatre, UHSM

Attendance:

Sarah Duff	Clinical Director and Consultant Colorectal Surgeon, UHSM
Mohammed Sadat	Colorectal Surgeon and Trust Representative, East Cheshire
Sarah Taylor	Primary Care Representative
Carol Cunningham	Clinical Nurse Specialist and Trust Representative, Tameside
Debbie Hitchen	Clinical Nurse Specialist, CMFT
Tracey Purcell	Clinical Nurse Specialist, Colorectal and Stoma Care, CMFT
Nicola Fairclough	Clinical Nurse Specialist and Stoma Care, Wigan
Michele Dade	Clinical Nurse Specialist, Colorectal and Stoma Care, Bolton
David Bisset	Consultant Histopathologist, Bolton
Paul Harris	Colorectal Surgeon and Trust Representative, Bolton
Sue Poulson	Colorectal Nurse Specialist, Bolton
Gill Bulpin	Macmillan Nurse and Palliative Care Representative, UHSM
Debbie West	Clinical Nurse Specialist and Palliative Care Representative, UHSM
Malcolm Wilson	Colorectal Surgeon, the Christie
Scott Brown	Clinical Nurse Specialist and Deputy Trust Representative, Christie
Nicola Thibeacoult	Clinical Nurse Specialist, Mid Cheshire
Heather Hughes	Clinical Nurse Specialist, Mid Cheshire
V. Rudralingam	Consultant Radiologist, UHSM
Rubeena Razzaq	Consultant Radiologist, Bolton
Melissa Wright	Pathway Manager, Manchester Cancer

Apologies:

Michael Braun	Medical Oncologist and Research Representative, Christie
Sajal Rai	Colorectal Surgeon, Stockport
Samantha Kay	Macmillan Consultant, UHSM
Marius Paraoan	Colorectal Surgeon and Trust Representative, Wigan

Agenda Item	Action
<p>1. Welcome and Introductions</p> <p>SD welcomed everyone to the meeting.</p>	
<p>2. Apologies</p> <p>Apologies were noted.</p>	
<p>3. Minutes of the last meeting and Matters Arising</p> <p>The minutes of the last meeting were approved. The matters arising would be discussed within the agenda.</p>	
<p>4. MC Objective 1 – Improving outcome and survival rates</p> <p>(a) NBOCA Report 2014</p> <p>SD explained that the report published in December 2014 related to patients diagnosed within April 2012 – March 2013 which amounted to around 32,000 cases. The headline results included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 90 day postop mortality is stable at 4.6% • 1/3 patients do not have major resection and have much poorer outcomes - these patients included those that were too frail for an operation or those with metastatic disease • 65% colon and 79% rectal cancer patients are inpatients at 5/7 postop • Increased laparoscopic resection rates for elective surgery • 5% rectal cancers have local excision • 93% rectal cancers resected have CRM -ve <p>SD noted that there was regional variation in laparoscopic surgery, length of stay, 18 month stoma rates and 2-year survival. With regards to 90-day post-operative mortality, this was much better for patients who have a planned operation. Emergency operations have a mortality rate of 15.8% nationally, and all units within Greater Manchester were within the funnel plot for their emergency procedures but the rate of emergency admissions has remained the same for 5 years at 21%, despite greater awareness and screening.</p> <p>The rate of laparoscopic surgery is increasing nationally and this has increased by 5% within the region, however Greater Manchester sits as the fourth lowest performing Strategic Clinical Network (SCN) in laparoscopic uptake. SD noted that the colorectal cancer service specifications are being reviewed and these will indicate a target for this procedure of around 50%.</p> <p>Greater Manchester, Lancashire and South Cumbria SCN has the 2nd highest length of stay (LOS) >5 days and although the reasons for this are unclear the NBOCA report states that these are be multi-factorial and relate to comorbidities and social implications. The report indicated that the SCN performance with regard to LOS is unlikely to be due to variation in surgical approach.</p> <p>There is outlying performance for 18 month stoma rates and 2 year survival within the</p>	

<p>region and this has been communicated to the relevant Trusts as well as the Manchester Cancer Provider Board. For 18 month stoma rate, both the region and an individual Trust is an outlier. The Trust reviewed their data resulting in their rate falling by 11% but was still 10% higher than the national average. SD felt that the recording of correct data had to be a priority to prevent future occurrences of incorrect data being published and reflecting poorly on the region. This will require engagement of clinicians in the verification of the data.</p> <p>For 2-year survival (2008 -11), the national average is 24% and the local SCN is 25.8% but there is a wide variation across Trusts within Greater Manchester with two outlying Trusts. SD contacted the Trust cancer leads regarding their data. Both Trusts are undertaking analysis of their results with actions including greater clinician involvement in the verification of their data as part of a whole scale change of management and a quality improvement programme and a re-analysis of the data with external review from the national audit team.</p> <p>(b) GP education morning</p> <p>SD explained that a GP education event was held on 31st January in conjunction with the hepato-pancreatic-biliary and upper GI pathways. There were two sessions on screening and early diagnosis and over 80 GP's attended. There was positive feedback from the GP's as well information on what they would like for future education events which has been forwarded to Manchester Cancer. ST explained that Tom Pharoah is developing a strategy to create a standardised module approach to delivering GP education and thought it important that the education focused on the new NICE guidelines. SD asked for individuals and teams to volunteer to arrange and host the next educational event and wondered whether the Christie team would like to take the lead for this.</p> <p>ACTION:</p> <p>Trust leads to discuss the arrangement of next educational event and confirm with MW</p>	
<p>5. MC Objective – Improving the patient experience</p> <p>(a) Macmillan Innovation Fund and CNS Group</p> <p>SD explained that the Innovation Fund bid went in late last year and would be aimed at supporting a CNS group to develop and standardise elements of the recovery package across the region. The main bulk of the funding would go towards the recruitment of a Project Worker. It had been announced this morning that the bid had been successful and the first meeting of the CNS group was held prior to the Pathway Board. Along with the recruitment of the Project Worker, the first action will be for members of the CNS group to go back to their Trusts to map their current needs and develop a one-year and three-year plan.</p>	
<p>6. Research and clinical innovation</p> <p>a. Research update</p> <p>SD explained that MB has sent his apologies to today's meeting and that a trial update will be presented at the Clinical Subgroup meeting. He indicated that there were two</p>	

<p>new trials – Hughes Abdominal Repair Trial (HART) and CRIB, which uses cardiac rehab exercise in patients post operatively.</p>	
<p>7. MC Objective Improving and standardising high quality care across the service</p> <p>(a) Laparoscopic surgery guidelines</p> <p>Following a review of current practice which was presented by Rebecca Fish at the last Clinical Subgroup, proposed changes in practice were recommended and these have been included in the new guidelines. Peer Review requirements require that a list of laparoscopic practitioners be included as an appendix to the guidelines. The new guidelines include:</p> <p>1. Indications for laparoscopic resection</p> <p>1.1 Patients offered surgical resection as treatment for colorectal cancer should be offered the option of laparoscopic resection where the following criteria apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMI less than 35 • No previous multiple abdominal surgeries • Avoiding T4 cancers on pre-op staging • No signs of obstruction <p>1.2 Patients in whom the above criteria are not met may also be considered for laparoscopic resection but the choice of surgical approach should be considered carefully and agreed in the MDT.</p> <p>1.3 All patients in whom the criteria apply should be discussed at the MDT and patients reviewed by laparoscopic surgeons to discuss the benefits of laparoscopic resection.</p> <p>Supporting services and governance</p> <p>2.1 Units undertaking laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery should have the facility for preoperative endoscopic tattooing to facilitate intraoperative tumour localisation</p> <p>2.2 MDTs will submit the total numbers of colorectal cancer surgical resections within the unit and the number performed laparoscopically by the unit to the Pathway Board annually.</p> <p>2.3 Upon request by the pathway board, MDTs will provide further details on application of the criteria where uptake of laparoscopic surgery appears low.</p> <p>2.4 MDTs will submit a list of the surgeons offering laparoscopic resections for colorectal cancer in their Trusts to the pathway board (via MW)</p> <p>ACTIONS: List of laparoscopic surgeons indicating their category to be provided to MW Any feedback of guidelines to be sent to SD</p> <p>(b) MMR test guidelines</p> <p>SD explained that a further meeting with the CMFT Histopathologists, Geneticists, Radiologists and Oncologists took place on 3rd February 2015 to develop a guideline that is straightforward for histopathology. It indicates that patients <50 years MMR status will be assessed routinely. For patients >50 years, their case will be discussed at an MDT and any pathological features that suggest Lynch Syndrome with relevant family history will be referred. Other patients may be referred as well at the</p>	<p>Trust Reps Trust Reps</p>

ACTION: Draft guidelines to be completed by the next Board meeting.	VR/RR
(e) Date of next meetings Thursday May 14 th , Clinical Subgroup, 2-4pm, CTCCU Seminar Room Wednesday 15 th July , Pathway Board, 2-4pm, Nightingale Lecture Theatre	